

UNITED STATE DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE Patent and Trademark Offic

Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS

Washington, D.C. 20231

DIC

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED IN	VENTOR		ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.
09/034,O3S	03/04/9	8 404		Н	AGA-S
Polaka		HH19Z6914	7 (EXAMINER
	DWDY AND NE(MARK, P.L.L.C.			州自己会	N, M
SZA NINTH	STREET. NM			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
SUITE 300 WASHIMGTON	PC 20001-	5303		1631	1
				DATE MAILED	: 02:714/00

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks

Advisory Action

Application No. 09/034,336

Marjorie Moran

Applicant(s)

Examiner

Aga et al.
Group Art Unit

1631



THE PERIOD FOR RESPONSE: [check only a) or b)]	
a) expires months from the mailing date of the final rejection.	
b) Expires either three months from the mailing date of the final rejection, or on the mailing date of this Advis is later. In no event, however, will the statutory period for the response expire later than six months from rejection.	ory Action, whichever the date of the final
Any extension of time must be obtained by filing a petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a), the proposed response and the date on which the response, the petition, and the fee have been filed is the date of the response and also the date determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. Any extension fee pursuant to 37 C calculated from the date of the originally set shortened statutory period for response or as set forth in b) above.	for the purposes of
Appellant's Brief is due two months from the date of the Notice of Appeal filed on period for response set forth above, whichever is later). See 37 CFR 1.191(d) and 37 CFR 1.192(a). (or within any
Applicant's response to the final rejection, filed on $\underline{Jan\ 13,\ 2000}$ has been considered with the but is NOT deemed to place the application in condition for allowance:	following effect,
▼ The proposed amendment(s):	
will be entered upon filing of a Notice of Appeal and an Appeal Brief.	
will not be entered because:	
X they raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search. (See note bel	ow).
they raise the issue of new matter. (See note below).	
they are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing issues for appeal.	g or simplifying the
they present additional claims without cancelling a corresponding number of finally rejected	claims.
NOTE: Plant substances which are in "juicy form" are a new issue and require further consider	eration.
	···
	·
Applicant's response has overcome the following rejection(s):	
Applicant's response has overcome the following rejection(s): Newly proposed or amended claims would be allowable separate, timely filed amendment cancelling the non-allowable claims.	e if submitted in a
 Newly proposed or amended claims would be allowable separate, timely filed amendment cancelling the non-allowable claims. ☑ The affidavit, exhibit or request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the affor allowance because: 	application in condition
 Newly proposed or amended claims would be allowable separate, timely filed amendment cancelling the non-allowable claims. ☒ The affidavit, exhibit or request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the analysis of the separate in the	application in condition
 Newly proposed or amended claims would be allowable separate, timely filed amendment cancelling the non-allowable claims. ☑ The affidavit, exhibit or request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the afor allowance because: MARUTA makes obvious stabilization of antioxidants, thereby also making obvious stabilization of antioxidants. 	application in condition
 Newly proposed or amended claims would be allowable separate, timely filed amendment cancelling the non-allowable claims. In affidavit, exhibit or request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the affor allowance because: MARUTA makes obvious stabilization of antioxidants, thereby also making obvious stabilization of eliminating " compounds. See MPEP 2131.02 and the interview summary. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered because it is not directed SOLELY to issues which 	application in condition f "active-oxygen were newly raised by
 Newly proposed or amended claims would be allowable separate, timely filed amendment cancelling the non-allowable claims. ☑ The affidavit, exhibit or request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the afor allowance because: MARUTA makes obvious stabilization of antioxidants, thereby also making obvious stabilization of eliminating" compounds. See MPEP 2131.02 and the interview summary. ☑ The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered because it is not directed SOLELY to issues which the Examiner in the final rejection. ☑ For purposes of Appeal, the status of the claims is as follows (see attached written explanation, in 	application in condition f "active-oxygen were newly raised by
 Newly proposed or amended claims would be allowable separate, timely filed amendment cancelling the non-allowable claims. ★ The affidavit, exhibit or request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the afor allowance because:	application in condition f "active-oxygen were newly raised by f any):
 Newly proposed or amended claims would be allowable separate, timely filed amendment cancelling the non-allowable claims. ☑ The affidavit, exhibit or request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the afor allowance because: MARUTA makes obvious stabilization of antioxidants, thereby also making obvious stabilization of eliminating" compounds. See MPEP 2131.02 and the interview summary. ☑ The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered because it is not directed SOLELY to issues which the Examiner in the final rejection. ☑ For purposes of Appeal, the status of the claims is as follows (see attached written explanation, in 	application in condition f "active-oxygen were newly raised by f any):
 Newly proposed or amended claims would be allowable separate, timely filed amendment cancelling the non-allowable claims. In affidavit, exhibit or request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the afor allowance because:	application in condition f "active-oxygen were newly raised by f any):
 Newly proposed or amended claims would be allowable separate, timely filed amendment cancelling the non-allowable claims. ✓ The affidavit, exhibit or request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the afor allowance because:	application in condition f "active-oxygen were newly raised by f any):
 Newly proposed or amended claims	application in condition f "active-oxygen were newly raised by f any):